

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/376,794	08/18/1999		RAINER KROPKE	BEIERSDORF-5	6931
27384	7590	09/22/2004		EXAM	MINER
NORRIS, M	CLAUC	HLIN & MARCU	KISHORE, G	KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S	
875 THIRD STREET				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
18TH FLOO		0022		1615	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/376,794	KROPKE ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandment whicles.	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 September 2004</u>. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	within the period set forth in fithe appeal.					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		300 140 12 50.047),					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in	•	rially reducing or simplifying the					
issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceli							
NOTE: <u>The added claim 27 requires further cons</u>		<u>ll search.</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	– .						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appliance.	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
 10 Other:	, , , , , , , ,						
		Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1615					